#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT-DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO.

INDEMNITY COMPANY OF ILLINOIS) INDIVIDUALLY AND A/S/O DACON CORPORATION AND A/S/O CONDYNE VENTURES, LLC.,	490 JLT
Plaintiff MAGISTRATE JUDGE	SUMMONS ISSUED 1 1/1
vs.	LOCAL RULE 4.1 WAIVER FORM
GENESIS INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY And TIG INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendants	MCF ISSUED BY DPTY. CLK. DATE

# DEFENDANT, GENESIS INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION FROM STATE COURT

Defendant, Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company, hereby files this Notice of Removal of the above-described action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts from the Massachusetts State Court where the action is now pending as provided by Title 28, §§ 1441, 1446 U.S. Code. As grounds therefore, defendant states as follows:

- 1. Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company is one of the defendants in the above entitled action.
- The above-entitled action was commenced in the Middlesex Superior Court,
   Civil Action on June 28, 2005 and is now pending in that court.

- 3. The action is a civil action seeking a declaration that Genesis Indemnity
  Insurance Company must defend and indemnify the plaintiff's insured in an
  underlying case which is presently pending in Worcester Superior Court.
- 4. The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts has jurisdiction by reason of the diversity of citizenship of the parties, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff, Travelers Property Casualty Company of America, is now and at the time the state action was commenced a foreign corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut and has a principal place of business in that same state. Defendant, Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company is now and at the time the state action was commenced is a foreign corporation incorporated in North Dakota. Co-defendant, TIG Insurance Company is a foreign corporation duly organized under the laws of California with a principle place of business in Texas. No change of citizenship of parties has occurred since the commencement of the action. Neither Genesis Indemnity nor TIG Insurance are citizens of the state in which the action was brought nor are they incorporated in Massachusetts and each maintain their principal places of business in other states.
- 6. A copy the Complaint filed by the plaintiff is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 7. Defendant will give written notice of the filing of this notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 8. A copy of this notice will be filed with the clerk of the Middlesex Superior Court as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company, requests that this action proceed in this Court as an action properly removed to it.

The Defendant,

Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company

By its attorneys,

Jocelyn M. Sedney, BBO# 552115
BRODY, HARDOON, PERKINS & KESTEN
One Exeter Plaza, 12th Floor

Boston, MA 02116 (617) 880-7100

Dated: July 14, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorney of record for each other party by mail-had on

#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

MIDDLESEX, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT C.A. NO.:

TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY
COMPANY OF AMERICA (FORMERLY
KNOWN AS THE TRAVELERS
INDEMNITY COMPANY OF ILLINOIS)
INDIVIDUALLY AND A/S/O DACON CORPORATION
AND A/S/O CONDYNE VENTURES, LLC.,
Plaintiff

GENESIS INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY and TIG INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendants

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### **PARTIES**

- The Plaintiff, Travelers Property Casualty Company of America (formerly known as The Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois) is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut with its principal place of business at One Tower Square, Hartford, Connecticut 06183 (hereafter referred to as "Travelers").
- The Defendant, Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company, is a duly organized corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of North Dakota with its principal place of business at 695 East Main Street, Stamford, Connecticut and with administrative offices located at Financial Centre, P.O. Box 10350, Stamford, Connecticut (hereafter referred to as "Genesis"). Genesis is an approved surplus lines insurance company approved by the Massachusetts Division of Insurance to provide insurance coverage to insureds located in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws c. 175 §168.
- TIG Insurance Company is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of California with a principal place of business at 5205 N. O'Connor Boulevard, Irving, Texas and with administrative offices located at 250 Commercial Street, Suite 5000, Manchester, New Hampshire 03101.
- The Court has jurisdiction over the defendants pursuant to the provisions of Mass. Gen. Laws c. 223A, including but not limited to M.G.L. c. 223A §3(f).

#### **FACTS**

- Travelers issued a policy of general liability insurance to Dacon Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation with its principal place of business at 16 Huron Drive, Natick, Massachusetts 01760 (hereafter referred to as "Dacon"), Policy No. DTJCO210R3260, effective from April 1, 2000 to April 1, 2001 pursuant to which Travelers has been defending Dacon and Condyne Ventures, LLC ("Condyne") in a personal injury action brought by one Michael Martin et alii in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Bristol County Superior Court, Civil Action No. BRCV2002-00168 (hereafter referred to as the "Martin action" or the "Martin claim"), an action for personal injuries that allegedly occurred on January 3, 2001.
- The Travelers policy covering Dacon contains a subrogation provision pursuant to which Travelers is subrogated to Dacon's rights against other entities, including the rights to insurance coverage from other insurers relative to defense and indemnification with respect to the Martin claim.
- Genesis issued a policy of commercial general liability insurance to Environmental Fire Protection, Inc. (hereafter referred to as "EFP"), 249 Cedar Hill Street, Marlboro, Massachusetts with effective dates of December 5, 2000 to December 5, 2001 (hereafter referred to as the "Genesis policy").
- 8. TIG Insurance Company issued a policy of Excess Liability insurance to EFP with effective dates from December 5, 2000 to December 5, 2001 (hereafter referred to as the "TIG policy").
- 9. Dacon Corporation was retained by Condyne Ventures, LLC ("Condyne"), the owner of a commercial property in Taunton, Massachusetts, to construct an addition to an existing freezer storage building on the property.
- 10. Dacon subcontracted with EFP to provide a fire suppression system in the addition Dacon was building for Condyne. A true copy of the Dacon-EFP subcontract is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 11. EFP in turn subcontracted a portion of its work for Dacon to Michael Martin's employer, SJV Electric ("SJV").
- 12. At the time of the accident at issue in the Martin action, January 3, 2001, Michael Martin, was engaged in work that fell within the scope of SJV's work for EFP which in turn constituted part of the work that EFP had contracted to perform for Dacon.
- 13. The Dacon-EFP subcontract required EFP to have Dacon and Condyne named as additional insureds on EFP's liability insurance policies.

14. The Genesis policy contained a blanket additional insured endorsement providing as follows:

WHO IS AN INSURED (Section II) provision of the Policy is amended to include as an insured any person or organization (called "additional insured") to whom you are obligated by valid written contract to provide such coverage, but only with respect to liability for "bodily injury" or "property damage" arising solely out of "your work" on behalf of said additional insured for which coverage is provided by this policy.

15. Upon information and belief, the TIG policy contained terms (or incorporated terms from the Genesis policy) by which Dacon and Condyne qualified as additional insureds on the TIG policy to the same extent as Dacon and Condyne each qualified for additional insured status under the Genesis policy.

#### COUNT I (DECLARATORY JUDGMENT)

- 16. Travelers repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 15, above.
- 17. Dacon and Condyne qualify as additional insureds under both the Genesis policy and the TIG policy and Dacon and Condyne are entitled to be defended by Genesis in connection with the Martin action and to be indemnified by Genesis (and to the extent any judgment or settlement reaches the limits of the TIG policy, by TIG) for any judgment, settlement or award in favor of the plaintiffs in the Martin action.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Travelers Property Casualty Company of America (formerly known as The Travelers Indemnity Company of Illinois) demands judgment against Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company and TIG Insurance Company in the form a declaration that:

- A) Dacon and Condyne are entitled to coverage as an additional insureds in connection with the Martin action under both the Genesis policy and the TIG policy issued to EFP;
- B) Genesis shall immediately assume the defense of Dacon and Condyne in the Martin action and shall reimburse Travelers for all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Travelers in defending Dacon and Condyne up to, and including, the date on which Genesis assumes the defense of Dacon and Condyne;
- C) Genesis (and to the extent any judgment or settlement reaches the limits of the TIG policy, TIG) shall be obligated to indemnify Dacon and Condyne for any

- judgment or reasonable settlement that may be awarded to the plaintiffs in the Martin action in accordance with the terms of the Genesis and TIG policies.
- D) Genesis shall reimburse Travelers for its reasonable attorneys fees incurred in the prosecution of the instant action;
- E) Such other relief as the Court deems meet and just.

### COUNT II (LEGAL AND EQUITABLE SUBROGATION)

- 18. The Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17, above.
- 19. Travelers has subsidized the defense of Dacon and Condyne in connection with the Martin action.
- 20. Genesis should have provided primary insurance coverage and a full defense to Dacon and Condyne as additional insureds under the Genesis policy.
- Travelers has paid and continues to pay defense costs incurred on behalf of Dacon and Condyne in connection with the Martin that rightfully should have been (and should continue to be) paid by Genesis.

WHEREFORE, Travelers demands judgment against Genesis for reimbursement of the full amount of defense costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, expended to date in the defense of Dacon and Condyne in the Martin action, plus costs and interest.

## COUNT III (EQUITABLE CONTRIBUTION)

- The Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21, above.
- Travelers has paid more than its rightful share of the costs of defending Dacon and Condyne in the Martin action and is therefore entitled to reimbursement of an equitable share of such costs from Genesis as to all such defense costs incurred to date and continuing to the date judgment enters in this action.

WHEREFORE, Travelers demands judgment against Genesis for reimbursement of an equitable share of the defense costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, expended to date in the defense of Dacon and Condyne in the Martin action, plus costs and interest.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL AS TO ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.

TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF AMERICA (FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY OF ILLINOIS) INDIVIDUALLY AND A/S/O DACON CORPORATION AND A/S/O CONDYNE VENTURES, LLC,

By its Attorney,

Richard J. Riley, BBO# 420 10

MURPHY & RILEY, P.C.

141 Tremont Street Boston, MA 02111 (617) 423-3700

Dated: June 28, 2005

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	. J. Case (1	name of first party on each side only) Travelers Property Casualty of America v. Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company
Cate	egory in w	rhich the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See
loca	ıl rule 40.1	f(a)(1)).
	۱.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.
$\Box$		
_	H.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730,  *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121  740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.  *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121  for patent, trademark or copyright cases
X	. 111.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310,
		315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,
		380, 385, 450, 891.
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660,
		220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.
	v.	in the state of th
Title this	e and nun district p	nber, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more that the prior related case has been filed in elease indicate the title and number of the first filed case in the court.
nas	s a prior a	ction between the same parties and become the same claim over been filed in this court?
Dos	ee the com	TES NO
	USC §240:	nplaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See
H e	o is the H	YES L_I NO L^I I.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?
		instruction and online in amphopse of the old, a party !
		YES NO
ls t	his case r	required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?
		YES LI NO LA
Ma		parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of tts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule
		YES NO
	A.	If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?
		Eastern Division Central Division Western Division
	В.	if no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?
	•	Eastern Division Central Division Western Division
		tice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If a separate sheet identifying the motions)
		YES NO X
ASE T	YPE OR P	RINT)
DRNEY	'S NAME	Jocelyn M. Sedney
RESS.		Brody, Hardoon, Perkins & Kesten, One Exeter Plaza, Boston, MA 02
		(617) 880-7100

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  Travelers F  (b) County of Residence o	DEFENDANTS Genesis Indemnity Insurance Company and TIG Insurance Company  County of Residence of First Listed  (IN U.S. PLAINTEF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.							
(b) County of Residence 6								
Richard J. Ril MURPHY & RILEY	7, P.C. (6	517)423-3700	0	Attorneys (If Knot Jocelyn M Brody, Ha	. Sedn rdoon,	Perkins		(617)000
	reet, Boston,						, MA 02116	
II. BASIS OF JURISD  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government		(For Di	ZENSHIP OF P. versi ty Cases On ly) of This State	DEF		tace an "X" in One Box & and One Box for De fen Principal Place   4 This State	ndant) DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizen in Item III)	ship of Parties	Citizen	of Another State	2 🗆 2	Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place 🔯 5 Another State	5 1375
				or Subject of a  ign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation	D 6	6 🗆 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						ren rinenes r	OTHER STATE	ree
X   10 Insurance   120 Martine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Eaforcement of   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Losas (Excl. Vetemns)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Force losure   230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	315 Airplane Pmduct Liability   320 Assault, Libe   & Slander   330 Federal Employers' Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine Product Liability   350 M stor V chicle   355 M stor V chicle   360 Other Personal Injury   CIVIL RIGHTS   341 Voting   442 Employment   443 Housing! Accommod ations   444 Welfare   440 Other Civil Rights   360 Other Civi	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury  Med. Melgractice  365 Personal Injury  Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal  Injury Product  Lisbility  PERSONAL PROPER:  370 Other Fraud  371 Trath in Lending  380 Other Personal  Property Damage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIC  510 Motions to Vacate  Sentence  Habeas Corpus:  530 General  535 De ath Penuity  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition	Y	LABOR  O Fair Labor Sundards Act  O Labor/M gmt. Relations  Labor/M gmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act  O Railway Labor Act  O Other Labor Laigation  Labor, Ret. Inc., Security Act	422 App   423 With 28 U   PROPE   830 Pate   840 Trad   861 H IA   862 Blat   863 DIW   864 SS   865 RS I   FEDER   870 T axc   97 D   871 IRS   26 U   871 IRS   271 IRS	RTY RICHTS  Prights at cmark  L SECURITY (13 95ff) ck Lung (923) 7 (ADIW W (405 (g)) D TRE XVI	OTHER STATI  400 State Reap portion 410 Antituest 430 Banks and Banks 450 Commerce/ICC 460 Deportation 470 Racke teer Influe Corrupt Organiz Balo Selective Servic Balo Securities/Comm Exchange 375 Customer Challs 12 USC 3410 1891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stable 892 Economic Stable 893 Environm cntal 1 894 Energy Albesti 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 App cal Determines Act 950 Constitutionality State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Appea	nument  ing Rates/etc, enced and rations  ce modities/ lenge  ts Hizatin Act Matters ion Act  of Fee coss to y of Actions
Original © 2 Proceeding S	tate Court A	ppeliate Court	Reope	ated or D 5 (specifined	ferred from er district fy)	☐ 6 Multidist Litigation	Distric Judge i rict [] 7 Magist	et from trate
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Do not cite juried ictional	l statutes unless diversity.	.)					
	intiff alleges	it is entit	tied to	o detense a	nd ind	iemnificat	ion for its	s insured
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.CP.		DEN DEN	MAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in comp	-
07/14/05	E(S) instructions):	лиdg E			DOCK	ET NUMBER		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AMOUN	SPENATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF	JUDGE	,	MAG. JU	DGE	